

COURT No.2
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH: NEW DELHI

1.

OA 2658/2025 with MA 3673/2025

Sgt Punit Jain (Retd) Applicant
VERSUS
Union of India and Ors. Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Panghal, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr. Arvind Patel, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANU MALHOTRA, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG, MEMBER (A)

ORDER
05.01.2026

The applicant vide the present OA makes the following prayers:-

“(a) To direct the respondents to review the pay fixed of the Applicant under the 6th CPC and after due verification re-fix his pay in a manner that is most beneficial to him.

(b) To direct the respondents thereafter re-fix their pay in subsequent rank and on transition to 7th CPC where applicable and ensuring that applicant is not drawing less pay than their juniors.

(c) To direct the Respondents to fix the basic pay of the applicant at par with his entrymate.

(d) To direct the respondents to fix the basic pay of the Applicant @51,100/- for the purpose of Pensionary benefits and issue fresh/corrigendum PPO to the Applicant.

(e) To pass an order granting interest @12% on the arrears of pension and other terminal benefits to

which the applicant is held entitled in terms of the re-calculation after implementing the order.

(f) To pass any other order or direction in favour of applicant, which may be deemed just and proper under the facts and circumstances of this case in the interest of justice.”

2. Along with the same is an application MA 3673/2025 filed under Section 22(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 seeking condonation of delay of 565 days in filing the present OA. In view of the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of UoI & Ors Vs Tarsem Singh (2008) 8 SCC 648 and in Ex Sep Chain Singh Vs Union of India & Ors in Civil Appeal 22965/2017 arising out of Civil Appeal Diary no 30073/2017 and the reasons mentioned, the MA 3673/2025 is allowed despite opposition on behalf of the respondents and the delay of 565 days in filing the OA 2658/2025 is thus condoned. The MA 3673/2025 is disposed of accordingly.

3. At the outset learned counsel Mr. Sandeep Kumar bearing Enrolment no. 18543/2025 appearing as the counsel on behalf of the counsel for the applicant whose Vakalatnama is placed at Page-27 of the record submits under instructions from the counsel Mr. Amit Panghal that the applicant has received all dues and that the OA is sought to be withdrawn. Copy of the Corrigendum PPO bearing Corrigendum no. 2 has been submitted on behalf of the applicant which is taken on record. In view of the statement made by the counsel present on behalf of

the applicant, the OA 2658/2025 is thus dismissed as withdrawn
as prayed.

(JUSTICE ANU MALHOTRA)
MEMBER (J)

(REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG)
MEMBER (A)

TS